

A note on the ampleness of numerically positive log canonical and anti-log canonical divisors

*Shigetaka Fukuda

Abstract

In this short note, we consider the conjecture that the log canonical divisor (resp. the anti-log canonical divisor) $K_X + \Delta$ (resp. $-(K_X + \Delta)$) on a pair (X, Δ) consisting of a complex projective manifold X and a reduced simply normal crossing divisor Δ on X is ample if it is numerically positive. More precisely, we prove the conjecture for $K_X + \Delta$ with $\Delta \neq 0$ in dimension 4 and for $-(K_X + \Delta)$ with $\Delta \neq 0$ in dimension 3 or 4.

Every variety is defined over the field of complex numbers throughout the paper. Let X be an n -dimensional nonsingular projective algebraic variety and $\Delta = \sum_{i \in I} \Delta_i$ a reduced simply normal crossing divisor on X (where Δ_i is a prime divisor). We denote the canonical divisor of X by K_X . Thus $K_X + \Delta$ denotes the log canonical divisor on the pair (X, Δ) .

By the symbol $\kappa(X, L)$, we mean the Iitaka dimension of a $\mathbf{Q}$ -Cartier $\mathbf{Q}$ -divisor L .

Definition 0.1. A $\mathbf{Q}$ -Cartier $\mathbf{Q}$ -divisor L on X is *numerically positive* (nup ([5]), for short) if $(L, C) > 0$ for every curve C on X .

Remark 0.2. In the case where $\dim X = 1$, the nupness means the ampleness.

In this paper we deal with the following four conjectures, which are well known to the specialists of higher dimensional algebraic varieties.

*2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14E30

Conjecture 0.3. *If K_X is nup, then it is ample.*

Conjecture 0.4. *If $K_X + \Delta$ is nup, then it is ample.*

Conjecture 0.5. *If $-K_X$ is nup, then it is ample.*

Conjecture 0.6. *If $-(K_X + \Delta)$ is nup, then it is ample.*

Conjectures 0.3 and 0.4 are theorems in dimension $n \leq 3$, by virtue of the abundance and the log abundance theorems (due to Kawamata [2] and [3], Miyaoka [10] and Keel-Matsuki-McKernan [4]). Conjecture 0.5 was proved by Hidetoshi Maeda [6] in dimension $n = 2$ and by Serrano [12] in dimension $n = 3$.

Hironobu Maeda [7] proved Conjecture 0.6 in the case where $\Delta \neq 0$ and $n = 2$, as follows: Assume that $n=2$, the anti-log canonical divisor $-(K_X + \Delta)$ is nup and $\Delta \neq 0$. First we shall show that $-(K_X + \Delta)^2 > 0$. Let us derive a contradiction, assuming that $-(K_X + \Delta)^2 = 0$. From the nupness of $-(K_X + \Delta)$, we have $-(K_X + \Delta)\Delta > 0$. Thus $-(K_X + \Delta)K_X < 0$. Then $\kappa(X, -(K_X + \Delta)) = 1$ by virtue of Sakai [11], Theorem 2. Hence the nupness of $-(K_X + \Delta)$ implies that $-(K_X + \Delta)(-(K_X + \Delta)) > 0$, because a high multiple of $-(K_X + \Delta)$ becomes linearly equivalent to some nonzero effective divisor. This is a contradiction! Consequently we have $-(K_X + \Delta)^2 > 0$. Next we apply the Nakai criterion to the divisor $-(K_X + \Delta)$ and obtain that it is ample.

By using Wilson's technique [13], Hironobu Maeda [7] proved Conjecture 0.6 also in dimension $n = 3$ under the extra condition $\kappa(X, -(K_X + \Delta)) \geq 1$. (This result was reviewed by Matsuki [8].)

Here we remark that Serrano [12] has implicitly proved Conjecture 0.6 in dimension $n = 3$ under the weaker condition that $\kappa(X, -(K_X + \Delta)) \geq 0$, as follows: Assume that $n = 3$, that the anti-log canonical divisor $-(K_X + \Delta)$ is nup and that $\kappa(X, -(K_X + \Delta)) \geq 0$. Then $\kappa(X, (-1)K_X + 1(-(K_X + \Delta))) = \kappa(X, -2(K_X + \Delta) + \Delta) \geq \kappa(X, -2(K_X + \Delta)) = \kappa(X, -(K_X + \Delta)) \geq 0$. Thus Serrano [12], Proposition 3.1 implies that $-(K_X + \Delta) + \epsilon K_X$ is ample for a sufficiently small positive rational number ϵ . Therefore $-(K_X + \Delta) = (1/(1-\epsilon))(-(K_X + \Delta) + \epsilon K_X) + \epsilon \Delta$ is big. This satisfies the extra condition stated in the preceding paragraph.

Now we state our main theorem

Theorem 0.7. (1) *Conjecture 0.4 is true in the case where $\Delta \neq 0$ and $n = 4$.*
 (2) *Conjecture 0.6 is true in the case where $\Delta \neq 0$ and $n = 3, 4$.*

Acknowledgment: I thank the referee for careful reading the manuscript and for valuable advice concerning the presentation.

1 Proof of Theorem 0.7

We define $\mathbf{Strata}(\Delta) := \{\Gamma \mid \Gamma \text{ is an irreducible component of } \bigcap_{j \in J} \Delta_j \neq \emptyset, \text{ for some nonempty subset } J \text{ of } I\}$ and $\mathbf{MS}(\Delta) := \{\Gamma \in \mathbf{Strata}(\Delta) \mid \text{If } \Gamma' \in \mathbf{Strata}(\Delta) \text{ and } \Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma, \text{ then } \Gamma' = \Gamma\}$. We remark that $(K_X + \Delta)|_{\Gamma} = K_{\Gamma}$ for every $\Gamma \in \mathbf{MS}(\Delta)$.

Let L be a $\mathbf{Q}$ -Cartier $\mathbf{Q}$ -divisor on X .

L is said to be *nef and log big* on (X, Δ) , if L is nef, $L^n > 0$ and $(L|_{\Gamma})^{\dim \Gamma} > 0$ for any $\Gamma \in \mathbf{Strata}(\Delta)$.

Remark 1.1. Assume that L is nef.

If $bL - (K_X + \Delta)$ is nef for some $b \geq 0$, then so is $aL - (K_X + \Delta)$ for $a \gg 0$.

If $bL - (K_X + \Delta)$ is nup for some $b \geq 0$, then so is $aL - (K_X + \Delta)$ for $a \gg 0$.

If $bL - (K_X + \Delta)$ is nef and big for some $b \geq 0$, then so is $aL - (K_X + \Delta)$ for $a \gg 0$.

If $bL - (K_X + \Delta)$ is nef and log big on (X, Δ) for some $b \geq 0$, then so is $aL - (K_X + \Delta)$ for $a \gg 0$.

We cite two lemmas:

Lemma 1.2 (An uniruledness theorem of Miyaoka-Mori type, Matsuki [9]). *Let $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_n$ be a sequence of nef Cartier divisors. Suppose $D_1 \cdot D_2 \cdots D_n = 0$ and $-K_X \cdot D_1 \cdot D_2 \cdots D_{n-1} > 0$. Then X is covered by a family of rational curves C such that $D_n \cdot C = 0$.*

Lemma 1.3 (Base point free theorem of Reid type, Fukuda [1]). *If L is nef and $bL - (K_X + \Delta)$ is nef and log big on (X, Δ) for some $b \geq 0$, then L is semi-ample.*

Proposition 1.4. *Assume that L is nef and $bL - (K_X + \Delta)$ is nup for some $b \geq 0$ and that $\Delta \neq 0$. If $((bL - (K_X + \Delta))|_{\Gamma})^{\dim \Gamma} > 0$ for any $\Gamma \in \mathbf{MS}(\Delta)$, then $aL - (K_X + \Delta)$ is nef and log big on (X, Δ) for $a \gg 0$.*

Proof. We prove this proposition by induction on n . If $n = 1$, the statement is trivial. Thus we may assume that $n \geq 2$.

We note that $(aL - (K_X + \Delta))|_{\Delta_i} = aL|_{\Delta_i} - (K_{\Delta_i} + (\Delta - \Delta_i)|_{\Delta_i})$.

First we shall show that $((aL - (K_X + \Delta))|_{\Gamma})^{\dim \Gamma} > 0$ for any $\Gamma \in \mathbf{Strata}(\Delta)$. If $(\Delta - \Delta_i)|_{\Delta_i} \neq 0$, then the induction hypothesis implies that $((aL - (K_X + \Delta))|_{\Gamma})^{\dim \Gamma} > 0$ for any $\Gamma \subseteq \Delta_i$. Thus we may assume that $(\Delta - \Delta_i)|_{\Delta_i} = 0$. Then $\Delta_i \in \mathbf{MS}(\Delta)$. Therefore $((aL - (K_X + \Delta))|_{\Delta_i})^{\dim \Delta_i} > 0$.

Next we shall show that $(aL - (K_X + \Delta))^n > 0$. Assuming that $(aL - (K_X + \Delta))^n = 0$ for any $a \gg 0$, we will derive the contradiction. Then we have $L^i(K_X + \Delta)^{n-i} = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$, by regarding $(aL - (K_X + \Delta))^n$ as a polynomial in the variable a . Thus $-K_X \cdot (aL - (K_X + \Delta))^{n-1} = (-aL + \Delta) \cdot (aL - (K_X + \Delta))^{n-1} = \Delta \cdot (aL - (K_X + \Delta))^{n-1} \geq (aL - (K_X + \Delta))^{n-1} \Delta_i = ((aL - (K_X + \Delta))|_{\Delta_i})^{\dim \Delta_i} > 0$. Consequently Lemma 1.2 derives the contradiction. $\square$

Theorem 1.5. *Assume that L is nef and $bL - (K_X + \Delta)$ is nup for some $b \geq 0$ and that $\Delta \neq 0$. If $((bL - (K_X + \Delta))|_{\Gamma})^{\dim \Gamma} > 0$ for any $\Gamma \in \mathbf{MS}(\Delta)$, then L is semi-ample.*

Proof. The assertion follows from Lemma 1.3, because $aL - (K_X + \Delta)$ is nef and log big on (X, Δ) for $a \gg 0$ by Proposition 1.4. $\square$

Proposition 1.6. (1) *Conjecture 0.4 is true in the case $\Delta \neq 0$, if Conjecture 0.3 is true in dimension $\leq n-1$.* (2) *Conjecture 0.6 is true in the case $\Delta \neq 0$, if Conjecture 0.5 is true in dimension $\leq n-1$.*

Proof. (1) Put $L = K_X + \Delta$ in the statement of Theorem 1.5. (2) Put $L = -(K_X + \Delta)$ in the statement of Theorem 1.5. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 0.7. (1): Conjecture 0.3 is true in the case $n \leq 3$ (Miyaoaka [10], Kawamata [3]). Thus Proposition 1.6 implies the assertion.

(2): Conjecture 0.5 is true in the case $n \leq 3$ (Hidetoshi Maeda [6], Serrano [12]). Thus Proposition 1.6 implies the assertion. $\square$

References

- [1] S. Fukuda: *On base point free theorem*. Kodai Math. J. **19**(1996), 191–199.

- [2] Y. Kawamata: *The Zariski decomposition of log-canonical divisors*. Algebraic Geometry, Bowdoin, 1985, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 46, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, pp. 425–433.
- [3] Y. Kawamata: *Abundance theorem for minimal threefolds*. Invent. Math. **108**(1992), 229–246.
- [4] S. Keel, K. Matsuki and J. McKernan: *Log abundance theorem for threefolds*. Duke Math. J. **75**(1994), 99–119.
- [5] A. Lanteri and B. Rondenà: *Numerically positive divisors on algebraic surfaces*. Geom. Dedicata **53**(1994), 145–154.
- [6] Maeda, Hidetoshi: *A criterion for a smooth surface to be Del Pezzo*. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **113**(1993), 1–3.
- [7] Maeda, Hironobu: *On logarithmic canonical divisors on threefolds*. Tokyo J. Math. **8**(1985), 455–461.
- [8] K. Matsuki: *A criterion for the canonical bundle of a 3-fold to be ample*. Math. Ann. **276**(1987), 557–564.
- [9] K. Matsuki: *A correction to the paper “Log abundance theorem for threefolds”*. math. AG/0302360, February 2003.
- [10] Y. Miyaoka: *On the Kodaira dimension of minimal threefolds*. Math. Ann. **281**(1988), 325–332.
- [11] F. Sakai: *D-dimension of algebraic surfaces and numerically effective divisors*. Composito Math. **48**(1983), 101–118.
- [12] F. Serrano: *Strictly nef divisors and Fano threefolds*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **464**(1995), 187–206.
- [13] P. M. H. Wilson: *On complex algebraic varieties of general type*. Sympos. INDAM, Rome, 1979, Symposia Mathematica 24, Academic Press, London-New York, 1981, pp. 65–73.

Faculty of Education, Gifu Shotoku Gakuen University
Yanaizu-cho, Gifu 501-6194, Japan
fukuda@ha.shotoku.ac.jp